





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,002 10/15/2001		Tzuoh-Fei Mao	BHT-3111-206 9591	
7:	590 07/15/2003			
DOUGHERTY & TROXELL			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBUI		STEFANON, JUSTIN		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{K}	
9	

•1	•		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary		A - 4' O	09/976,002	MAO ET AL.			
		Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
			Justin Stefanon	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)[Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 A</u>	pril 2003 .				
2a)	⊠ This acti	on is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 9-15 is/are pending in the application.							
,-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[is/are allowed.					
_	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[7		is/are objected to.					
,		are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
•	ation Paper	<u> </u>					
9)[The specif	ication is objected to by the Examiner	•.				
10)[⊠ The drawir	ng(s) filed on 15 October 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant	t may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[The propos	sed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approve	ed, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12)[The oath o	or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priorit	ty under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•				
13)[☐ Acknowle	dgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	a) All b)	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1.☐ Cei	rtified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 N	lotice of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) esure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/976,002 Page 2

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on April 30, 2003 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 5.876,296 to Hsu et al.

Hsu et al. disclose a tooth contour structure with a large sprocket 1 and a small sprocket 2 with a first tooth 12, a second tooth 11, and a third tooth, opposite the second tooth from the first. The first tooth has a curved surface 12, a cutting portion 16 below the curved surface and between the curved surface and a projecting surface 19 adjacent to a tooth valley between the first and second tooth, and a recession 14 below the first tooth. The top edge of the projection is formed with a slant angle and has a curvature matching a path and curvature of the chain. The recession extends from below the first tooth to below an adjacent tooth, i.e. the second tooth. A recessed tooth valley is between the second and third teeth. The tops of the first and second teeth are

Art Unit: 3682

cut off with a predetermined height to become a short tooth. The projection surface is integrally made with the large sprocket.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. in view of US Pat. No. 5,738,603 to Schmidt et al.

Hsu et al. disclose the subject matter of claim 1, as discussed above, but do not disclose reducing the height of the first and second teeth relative to the other teeth on the sprocket. Schmidt et al. disclose that it is known in the art to provide a tooth contour structure with a projection surface 1 having teeth A6,A7 on either side with reduced height. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teeth of Hsu et al. with the reduced height of Schmidt et al., as Hsu et al. discloses in column 1, lines 30-34 that reduced height teeth are known in the art as a means to ease shifting between sprockets and Schmidt et al. disclose in column 2, lines 9-17, that such a modification allows for smoother, safer, quieter, and quicker shifting under load.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note that the rejection has been

Page 4

changed to refer to tooth 12 as the first tooth. The recession is below the first tooth 12 as broadly claimed. The projection has a slant edge as broadly claimed. The tooth valley between the second and third teeth is a recessed tooth valley as broadly claimed. The projection surface is adjacent the tooth valley bottom edge as broadly claimed. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both Hsu and Schmidt disclose the use of reduced height gear teeth as a means of easing the transfer of the chain from one gear to the next, and Schmidt teaches safety, among other factors, as motivation for utilizing the varying tooth heights.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/976,002

Art Unit: 3682

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Stefanon whose telephone number is 703-305-1945. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

SUPÉRVISORY PATENTÉXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5

js July 11, 2003